EGHH-5772 DEPATCH NO. 201-29656 CLASSIFICATION DATE TO: Chief of Base, Munich IMPO: COS/G ATTN: Philip D. Holderness PROM: Chief, SR GENERAL : Operational/REDWOOD/LCIMPROVE/AEBATH SPECURC : Status of AECAPELIN-1 SEKIT PER EGMA-31939, 6 February 1958 EGMA-5525, 22 January 1958 A. В. Action Required. In accordance with paragraph 5 below.

- 1. We agree that ABCAFELIN-1 can still be operationally useful in the Munich area, and we appreciate the fact that your Base would be rather loathe to lose as prolific and as good a source as Subject. We also agree with your security assessment of Subject's statue as far as you have expressed it. Our thinking on this case, much as yours, is predicated on two factors: Subject is a good source, and, secondly, we should like to protect him so that he could be exploited on a long-range basis.
- 2. With the above as a frame of reference, we still feel as presented in paragraph 1 of Reference B that Subject should be removed from Germany. Basically, our security objections to his remaining in Munich, or anywhere in Germany, can be broken down into two general categories, which we will merely touch on, rather than fully develop.
- a. With the constant growth of Germany independence, we feel that one day, not too far off, the Germans might decide to take over the complete control of Subject. Because of his legal status in Germany, it would appear that Subject would have little choice but to cooperate fully. This, etvicusly, would cut him off from us. You are, of course, in a bettern position to assess more precisely than we, when the German renascent independence will reach the point at which the Germans would be willing to take the chance of jechardising their relations with me by brasenly taking over one of our agents; on the other hand, they could claim and with some justification that they had had a prior interest in this particular individual.

CLASSIFICATION

CHB

FORM NO. 51-28

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY SOURCESMETHODS EXEMPTION 3028 NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT DATE 2006

b. Although we agree with you that were the Soviets to approach Subject he would conduct himself in a proper professional and loyal manner, this is not, we feel, the major consideration. As mentioned in perspraph 1 of Reference B, Subject is in a particularly valuesable position because of his Church connections. If the Soviets chose to do so (and it may be a matter of "when" rather than "if"), they can initiate a PP play be publicating Subject, through one of their media, as an American sep, and his Church connections as being a "bet-bed of American espicionage". As you can readily see, any such action on their part would have fairly serious reparentations on UNTORS, and could turn out to be catastrophic for Subject personally since it might mean his being discharged from his professional duties with little channe of re-dust temmer. Since we presume the RIS are, and have been, gathering firm evidence for some years, we feel that Subject's continued activity in Manloh will marely add fund to what the RIS already have and might supply the "last street" which they may have been uniting. Subject's moving many from Manloh might preclude the Soviets getting the "final link" of proof, or - should they decide to surface him anyway - the repercussions on him personally might less some effectiveness because of his being may from the "some of his orinse" and many from the individuals who presumably would be meand as "witnesses" and many from the individuals who presumably would be

- c. Another point which runs parallel to paragraph b above is that with continued activity in Munich, Subject's activities on our behalf might become publicised through individuals or organizations not connected with the RIS, but the results of such publicity might have the same effects as mentioned above.
- 3. Because of Subject's position, and based on the reasoning in puregraph 2 b above, we feel it is quite improper to compare him with such intentionally send-overt operatives as \_\_\_\_\_\_, at al\_\_one to consider him for any much rale.
- 4. In Beference A you mention that your Base can probably use Subject more productively than other Stations sight. This, in our equation, does not completely express the mituation since we feel that an agent of Subject's culibre would be of extensive value in an area where no enigration sources exist, whereas you have other sources which could be utilized. Comparing his continued activity in Munich and his working in a new area, it would appear that the latter might be more fruitful from the NEBARK over all point of view.
- 5. In Reference B we mentioned that we had had informal discussions with the French Deak. Since that time, \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ has briefly displayed this problem with the Chief of Operations of the \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ (who ind been at Beadquarters on TDY), and the latter indicated that me man no objections in principle to formalizing these discussions. We are now on the

23-29656 Pegs.3

point of deing this, but do not leader. Destractly any may thatform until we are contain that but, you and ADCAPSLING agree of the archestisty of his moving to Parise. Accordingly, placed discuss this att. The agent and apprise us by cable of your and his desire. We age that you do this as soon as practicable since further discussion of this matter is analysis until his preferences are determined.

6. Although we mentioned this in devenance By we should like to undersome the fast that this majest will apparently sit be approved after the expiration of the present term involve the agent is transferred out of Minisher The only alternative to transfer is termination. A further word on this follows in a separate dispatch.

7. Should you, despite the commenhance on this subject, decide that AMCAPMIN-1 is indepensible to your Statistic operations for a given period of time after the expiration of the present authority, then the documentation of your position when you submit a new Field Pield Public Outline, would necessarily have to be sufficiently convicting to ensure approval of your plan-

Distributions

2 - HOB 2 - COS/G